



BROMSGROVE SCHOOL

EXAMINATIONS COMPLAINTS AND APPEALS PROCEDURE

| | |
|------------------|------------------------|
| Author: | Deputy Head (Academic) |
| Reviewed: | October 2025 |
| Next Review Due: | October 2026 |
| Scope: | Senior School |

KEY STAFF INVOLVED IN THE COMPLAINTS AND APPEALS PROCEDURE

| Role | Name(s) |
|----------------------|----------------|
| Head of Centre | Mr M Punt |
| Senior Leader(s) | Mr P Ruben |
| Examinations Officer | Mr P Brack |

PURPOSE OF THIS PROCEDURE

This procedure confirms Bromsgrove School's compliance with JCQ's *General Regulations for Approved Centres* (sections 5.3, 5.8) in drawing to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers the School's written complaints procedure which covers general complaints regarding the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification, and its internal appeals procedure.

GROUNDS FOR COMPLAINT

A candidate (or their parent/carer) may make a complaint on the grounds below (this is not an exhaustive list).

Teaching And Learning

- Quality of teaching and learning, for example
 - Non-subject specialist teacher without adequate training/subject matter expertise utilised on a long-term basis
 - Teacher lacking knowledge of new specification/incorrect core content studied/taught
 - Core content not adequately covered
 - Inadequate feedback for a candidate following assessment(s)
- Pre-release/advance material/set task issued by the awarding body not provided on time to an exam candidate
- The taking of an assessment, which contributes to the final grade of the qualification, not conducted according to the JCQ/awarding body instructions
- Candidate not informed of their centre assessed marks prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not informed of their centre assessed marks in sufficient time to request/appeal a review of marking prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not given sufficient time to review materials to make a decision whether to request a review of centre assessed marks
- Candidate unhappy with internal assessment decision (complainant to refer via Mr P Ruben to the centre's internal appeals procedure)
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure

Access Arrangements And Special Consideration

- Candidate not assessed by the centre's appointed assessor
- Candidate not involved in decisions made regarding their access arrangements
- Candidate was not informed that an application for access arrangements was to be processed using Access arrangements online, complying with the UK GDPR and the Data Protection Act 2018
- Candidate not informed/adequately informed of the arrangements in place and the subjects or components of subjects where the arrangements would not apply
- Exam information not appropriately adapted for a disabled candidate to access it
- Adapted equipment/assistive technology put in place failed during exam/assessment
- Approved access arrangement(s) not put in place at the time of an exam/assessment
- Appropriate arrangements not put in place at the time of an exam/assessment as a consequence of a temporary injury or impairment
- Candidate unhappy with centre decision relating to access arrangements or special consideration (complainant to refer via Mrs A Arnold to the centre's internal appeals procedure)
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure

Entries

- Failure to clearly explain a decision of early entry for a qualification to candidate (or parent/carer)
- Candidate not entered/entered late (incurring a late entry fee) for a required exam/assessment
- Candidate entered for a wrong exam/assessment

- Candidate entered for a wrong tier of entry

Conducting Examinations

- Failure to adequately brief candidate on exam timetable/exam regulations prior to exam/assessment taking place
- Room in which exam held did not provide candidate with appropriate conditions for taking the exam
- Inadequate invigilation in exam room
- Failure to conduct exam according to the regulations
- Online system failed during (online) exam/assessment
- Disruption during exam/assessment
- Alleged, suspected or actual malpractice incident not investigated/reported
- Eligible application for special consideration for a candidate not submitted/not submitted to timescale
- Failure to inform/update candidate on the accepted/rejected outcome of a special consideration application if provided by awarding body

Results And Post-Results

- Before exams, candidate not made aware of the arrangements for post-results services and the accessibility of senior members of centre staff after the publication of results
- Candidate not having access to a member of senior staff after the publication of results to discuss/make decision on the submission of an enquiry
- Candidate request for return of work after moderation and work not available/disposed of earlier than allowed in the regulations
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a result (complainant to refer via exams officer to awarding body post-results services)
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a centre decision not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal (complainant to refer via Mr P Ruben to the centre's internal appeals procedure)
- Centre applied for the wrong post-results service/for the wrong exam paper for a candidate
- Centre missed awarding body deadline to apply for a post-results service
- Centre applied for a post-results service for candidate without gaining required candidate consent/permission

RAISING A CONCERN/COMPLAINT

If a candidate (or their parent/carer) has a general concern or complaint about the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification they are following, the School encourages the candidate to try to resolve this informally in the first instance. The Deputy Head (Academic) should be contacted as soon as possible after the concern has arisen.

If a complaint fails to be resolved informally, the candidate (or his/her parent/carer) is then at liberty to make a formal complaint.

How To Make A Formal Complaint

- If a complaint cannot be resolved informally, a formal complaint may be made.
- A complaint should be submitted in writing to the Deputy Head (Academic) as soon as possible after the events it concerns and with as much detail as is possible. The appeals form in the policy below should be used.
- The complaint will be acknowledged and the Headmaster will be informed.

How A Formal Complaint Is Investigated

- An investigation will be carried out with all deliberate speed and will in most circumstances have been completed within a week. The Deputy Head (Academic) or another senior member of staff (who is not involved in the grounds for complaint and has no personal interest in the outcome) will fully investigate the complaint and report on the findings and conclusion to the Headmaster.

- The findings and conclusion will be provided to the complainant within 24 hours of the completion of this process.

Internal appeals procedure

Following the outcome, if the complainant remains dissatisfied and believes there are clear grounds, an appeal can be submitted. The grounds of the appeal should be made clear in writing and the appeal itself should be made within a week of the complainant having received the initial findings.

- The appeal will be acknowledged by the School and the Chairman of the Governing body will be informed at this point.
- The Headmaster will review the evidence already gathered and gather further evidence if necessary. He will consult with the Chair of Governors or a nominated Governor who represents the Chair.
- The Headmaster will inform the appellant of the final conclusion in due course.